



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

An Extract of Mr. Isaac Newton's Letter, written to the Publisher from Cambridge April 3. 1673. concerning the Number of Colors, and the Necessity of mixing them all for the production of White; as also touching the Cause why a Picture cast by Glasses into a darkned room appears so distinct notwithstanding its Irregular refraction: (Which Letter, being an Immediate answer to that from Paris, printed N°. 96. p. 6086. of these Tracts, should also, if it had not been mis-laid, have immediately followed the same.)

IT seems to me, that N. takes an improper way of examining the nature of *Colors*, whilst he proceeds upon compounding those that are already compounded; as he doth in the former part of his Letter. Perhaps he would sooner satisfy himself by resolving Light into Colors, as far as may be done by Art, and then by examining the properties of those colors apart, and afterwards by trying the effects of re-conjoining two or more or all of those; and lastly, by separating them again to examine, what changes that re-conjunction had wrought in them. This, I confess, will prove a tedious and difficult task to do it as it ought to be done; but I could not be satisfied, till I had gone through it. However, I only propound it, and leave every man to his own method.

As to the Contents of his Letter, I conceive, my former Answer to the *Quære* about the *Number of Colors* is sufficient, which was to this effect; That all Colors cannot practically be derived out of the *Yellow* and *Blew*, and consequently that those *Hypotheses* are groundless which imply they may. If you ask, What colors cannot be derived out of *yellow* and *blew*? I answer, none of all those which I defin'd to be Original; and if he can shew by experiment, how they may, I will acknowledge my self in an error. Nor is it easier to frame an *Hypothesis* by assuming only two Original colors rather than an indefinite variety; unless it be easier to suppose, that there are but two figures, sizes and degrees of velocity or force of the *Æthereal* corpuscles or pulses, rather than indefinite variety; which certainly would be a harsh supposition. No man wonders at the indefinite variety of Waves of the Sea, or of sands on the shore;

but,

but, were they all but two sizes, it would be a very puzzling *phenomenon*. And I should think it as unaccountable, if the several parts or corpuscles, of which a shining body consists, which must be suppos'd of various figures, sizes and motions, should impress but two sorts of motion on the adjacent *Æthereal medium*, or any other way beget but two sorts of Rays. But to examine, how Colors may be explain'd *hypothetically*, is besides my purpose. I never intended to shew, wherein consists the Nature and Difference of colors, but only to shew, that *de facto* they are Original and Immutable qualities of the Rays which exhibit them ; and to leave it to others to explicate by Mechanical *Hypotheses* the Nature and Difference of those qualities : which I take to be no difficult matter. But I would not be understood, as if their Difference consisted in the Different Refrangibility of those rays ; for, that different Refrangibility conduces to their production no otherwise, than by separating the Rays whose qualities they are. Whence it is, that the same Rays exhibit the same Colors when separated by any other means ; as by their different *Reflexibility*, a quality not yet discours'd of.

In the next particular, where N. would shew, that it is not necessary to mix all Colors for the production of *White* ; the mixture of *Yellow*, *Green* and *Blew*, without *Red* and *Violet*, which he propounds for that end, will not produce *White*, but *Green* ; and the brightest part of the *Yellow* will afford no other colour but *Yellow*, if the Experiment be made in a room well darkn'd, as it ought ; because the Colour'd light is much weaken'd by the Reflexion, and so apt to be diluted by the mixing of any other scattering light. But yet there is an Experiment or two mention'd in my Letter in the *Transactions Numb. 88*, by which I have produced *White* out of two colors alone, and that variously ; as out of *Orange* and a full *Blew*, and out of *Red* and *pale Blew*, and out of *Yellow* and *Violet*, as also out of other pairs of Intermediat colors. The most convenient Experiment for performing this, was that of casting the colors of one Prism upon those of another, after a due manner. But what N. can deduce from hence, I see not. For the two colors were compounded of all others, and so the resulting *White*, (to speak properly,) was compounded of them all,

and only de-compounded of those two. For *instance*, the *Orange* was compounded of Red, Orange, Yellow and some Green; and the *Blew*, of Violet, full Blew, light Blew, and some Green, with all their Intermediat degrees; and consequently the Orange and Blew together made an Aggregate of all colors to constitute the White. Thus, if one mix red, orange and yellow Powders to make an Orange; and green, blew and violet colors to make a Blew; and lastly, the two mixtures, to make a Grey; that Grey, though de-compounded of no more than two Mixtures, is yet compounded of all the six Powders, as truly as if the powders had been all mixt at once.

This is so plain, that I conceive there can be no further scruple; especially to them who know how to examine, whether a colour be simple or compounded, and of what colors it is compounded; which having explained in another place, I need not now repeat. If therefore N. would conclude any thing, he must shew, how White may be produced out of two *Un-compounded* colors; which when he hath done, I will further tell him, why he can conclude nothing from that. But I believe, there cannot be found an Experiment of that kind; because, as I remember, I once tryed, by gradual succession, the mixture of all pairs of Un-compounded colors; and, though some of them were paler, and nearer to White, than others, yet none could be truly call'd White. But it being some years since this tryal was made, I remember not well the circumstances, and therefore recommend it to others to be tryed again.

In the last place, had I thought, the Distinctness of the Picture, which (for *instance*) a Twelf foot Object-glass casts into a darken'd room, to be so contrary to me as N. is pleas'd to affirm, I should have wav'd my Theory in that point before I propounded it. For, that I had thought on that difficulty,

* See Numb. 80.
p. 3077.

you may easily guess by an expression, somewhere in my first Letter *, to this purpose; That I wonder'd, how Telescopes could be brought to so great perfection by Refractions which were so Irregular. But, to take away the difficulty, I must acquaint you, *first*, That, though I put the greatest Lateral error of the rays from one another to be about $\frac{1}{90}$ of the Glasses diameter; yet their greater error from the Points on which they ought to fall, will be
but

but $\frac{1}{100}$ of that diameter : And *then*, that the rays, whose error is so great, are but very few in comparison to those, which are refracted more Justly ; for, the rays which fall upon the middle-parts of the Glass, are refracted with sufficient exactness, as also are those that fall near the *perimeter* and have a *mean* degree of Refrangibility ; So that there remain only the rays, which fall near the perimeter and are *most* or *least* refrangible to cause any sensible confusion in the Picture. And these are yet so much further weaken'd by the greater space, through which they are scatter'd, that the Light which falls on the due point, is infinitely more dense than that which falls on any other point round about it. Which though it may seem a *Paradox*, yet is certainly demonstrable. Yea, although the Light, which pass's through the middle parts of the Glass, were wholly intercepted, yet would the remaining light convene infinitely more dense at the due points, than at other places. And by this excess of Density, the Light, which falls *in* or invisibly *near* the just point, may, I conceive, strike the *sensorium* so vigorously, that the impress of the weak light, which errs round about it, shall, in comparison, not be strong enough to be animadverted, or to cause any more sensible confusion in the Picture than is found by Experience.

This, I conceive, is enough to shew, Why the Picture appears so distinct, notwithstanding the Irregular refraction. But, if this satisfy not, *N.* may try, if he please, how distinct the Picture will appear when all the *Lens* is cover'd excepting a little hole next its edge on one side only : And if in this case he please to measure the breadth of the colors thus made at the edge of the Sun's picture, he will perhaps find it to approach nearer to my proportion than he expects.

An Answer (to the former Letter, written to the Publisher June 10. 1673. by the same Parisian Philosopher. that was lat. ly said to have written the Letter already extant in N^o. 96. p. 6086.

— **T**ouching the Solutions, given by M *Newton* to the scruples by me propos'd about his Theory of Colors, there were matter to answer them, and to form new difficulties; but seeing that he maintains his opinion with so much concern, I list not to dispute. But what means it, I pray, that he saith; *Though I should shew him, that the White could be produced of only two Un-compounded colors, yet I could conclude nothing from that.* And yet he hath affirm'd in p. 3083. of the *Transactions*, that to compose the White, all primitive colors are necessary.

As to the manner, whereby he reconciles the effect of Convex glasses for so well assembling the rays, with what he establishes concerning the different refrangibility, I am satisfied with it; but then he is also to acknowledge, that this aberration of the rays is not so disadvantageous to Optic glasses as he seems to have been willing to make us believe, when he proposed *Concave speculums* as the only hopes of perfecting Telescopes. His invention certainly was very good; but, as far as I could perceive by experience, the defect of the Matter renders it as impossible to execute, as the difficulty of the Form obstructs the use of the *Hyperbole* of M. *Des-Cartes*: So that, in my opinion, we must stick to our Spheric Glasses, whom we are already so much obliged to, and that are yet capable of greater perfection, as well by increasing the length of Telescopes, as by correcting the nature of Glass it self. *So far He.*

To this Letter is to be referr'd that, which is already extant in N. 96. p. 6087. as being an Answer thereto.